

Being A Parent
Grade 5/Year 6 Update

Fast Track Project Technical Report
Cari McCarty & Suzanne Doyle
May 2001

Table of Contents

I.	Scale Description
II.	Report Sample
III.	Scaling
IV.	Differences Between Groups
V.	Recommendations for Use
VI.	Item and Scale Means and SD's
VII.	Scale Correlations
VIII.	References

Citation

Instrument

Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. (1990). Being A Parent.

Report

McCarty, C.A. & Doyle, S.R. (2001). Being A Parent (Technical Report) [On-line]. Available: <http://www.fasttrackproject.org/>

Data Sources

Raw: p6h

Scored: bpr6

I. Scale Description

The **Being A Parent** scale is an adaptation of the Parenting Sense of Competence Scale (Gibaud-Wallston & Wandersman, 1978), which assesses parenting self-esteem. The 12 items assess *Parenting Satisfaction*, an affective dimension reflecting parenting frustration, anxiety, and motivation, and *Parenting Efficacy*, an instrumental dimension reflecting competence, problem-solving ability, and capability in the parenting role (Johnston & Mash, 1989). Parents are asked to respond to a series of statements about parenting, indicating their agreement or disagreement. Each item is measured on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 7 (Strongly Agree).

II. Report Sample

This technical report is based upon Year 6, Cohort 1 data, including both High-Risk Control and Normative samples. With missing cases excluded, the total N (including overlap of 71 respondents) was 407, with 337 parents of normative and 141 parents of high-risk control youth. The Normative sample consisted of 168 (49.85%) males, with an ethnic breakdown of 153 (45.40%) Black, 172 (51.04%) White, and 12 (3.56%) Other. The High Risk Control sample consisted of 101 (71.63%) males, with an ethnic breakdown of 65 (46.10%) Black, 73 (51.77%) White and 3 (2.13%) Other races. The total sample included 118 (27.99%) parents from Durham, 92 (22.60%) parents from Nashville, 108 (26.54%) parents from Pennsylvania, and 89 (21.87%) parents from Seattle.

Fifty-six respondents (12.1% of cohort) were missing data for the entire measure. This included 50 youth from the Normative sample and 14 high-risk control youth. The missing data occurred for 24 girls and 32 boys, including 18 Black, 1 Hispanic, 6 Other, and 31 White youth. The sites from which the measure was missing were as follows: 7 Durham, 13 Nashville, 16 Pennsylvania, and 20 Washington.

III. Scaling

Prior to this update, two scales for the Being A Parent measure were derived from exploratory factor analyses in the first and fifth years. The scales for this updated report in Year 6 were obtained by conducting the same confirmatory factor analyses (CFA) that were confirmed in Years 2 and 3 with both the Normative and High-Risk Control samples (see Addendum). The factor analysis confirmed two factors that corresponded with those obtained from the Year 1 Fast Track as well as the principal component analysis of the original measure (see Johnston & Mash, 1989). These two scale scores were calculated by taking an average of the items comprising the scales. None of the observations were missing 50% or more data. The resulting scales, items that compose them, and internal consistencies (Cronbach's coefficient Alpha) are provided below.

	<u>Normative (n=337)</u>	<u>HRC (n =141)</u>
Parenting Efficacy (Items 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9)	.76	.78
Parenting Satisfaction (Items 1r, 5r, 7r, 10r, 11r, 12r)	.80	.80

IV. Differences Between Groups

Two independent t-tests were conducted to assess differences between the Low Risk respondents (Normative sample excluding the overlapping High Risk Subjects, n = 266) and the High Risk Control sample (including 71 overlapping Normative youth, n = 141). Results indicated that parents of low risk youth reported more efficacy and more satisfaction in the parenting role.

<u>BAP Scale</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>t Value</u>	<u>Pr > t </u>	<u>Low-Risk Mean (n=266)</u>	<u>High-Risk Mean (n=141)</u>
Parenting Efficacy	245	-4.62*	<.0001	5.68	5.29
Parenting Satisfaction	405	-4.46	<.0001	4.70	4.12

*T-test with Satterthwaite correction for degrees of freedom (df) given statistical inequality of variances.

V. Recommendations for Use

Factor analyses suggest that this measure yields two scales that are very similar to those obtained from the original measure (Johnston & Mash, 1989). The efficacy scale indicates an instrumental dimension of parenting, whereas the satisfaction scale indicates an affective dimension of parenting. Previous studies suggest that parents who report more child behavior problems also report lower levels of satisfaction (Mash & Johnston, 1983).

VI. Item and Scale Means and SDs

Being A Parent Items - Normative Sample

Variable	Label	Mean	Std Dev	N
P1HBP2	Good model for new parent	5.09	1.30	337
P1HBP3	Feel doing a good job as parent	5.87	0.95	337
P1HBP4	Figure out what is troubling child	5.70	1.03	337
P1HBP6	Know what to do to be good parent	5.85	1.04	337
P1HBP8	Parenting satisfying as expected	5.71	1.17	337
P1HBP9	Have the skills to be a good parent	5.41	1.36	337
P1HBP1r	R- Hard to know if doing a good job	3.84	1.90	337
P1HBP5r	R- Talents in other areas not parenting	5.70	1.49	337
P1HBP7r	R- Parenting draining/exhausting	4.14	1.92	337
P1HBP10r	R- Being a parent makes you tense/anxious	4.71	1.80	337
P1HBP11r	R- Difficult to decide how to parent	4.62	1.79	337
P1HBP12r	R- So busy/parent never gets things done	4.50	1.90	337

Being A Parent Items - High-Risk Control Sample

Variable	Label	Mean	Std Dev	N
P1HBP2	Good model for new parent	4.66	1.38	141
P1HBP3	Feel doing a good job as parent	5.55	1.16	141
P1HBP4	Figure out what is troubling child	5.49	1.17	141
P1HBP6	Know what to do to be good parent	5.70	1.06	141
P1HBP8	Parenting satisfying as expected	5.23	1.36	141
P1HBP9	Have the skills to be a good parent	5.13	1.32	141
P1HBP1r	R- Hard to know if doing a good job	3.52	1.78	141
P1HBP5r	R- Talents in other areas not parenting	5.42	1.60	141
P1HBP7r	R- Parenting draining/exhausting	3.60	1.74	141
P1HBP10r	R- Being a parent makes you tense/anxious	4.22	1.71	141
P1HBP11r	R- Difficult to decide how to parent	4.09	1.77	141
P1HBP12r	R- So busy/parent never gets things done	3.84	1.91	141

Scale Means

Scale	Normative Sample (n=337)		High Risk Control (n=141)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Parenting Satisfaction	4.58	1.28	4.12	1.24
Parenting Efficacy	5.61	0.77	5.29	0.86

VII. Scale Correlations

Pearson Correlation Coefficients, Normative Sample above Diagonal (n=350), High Risk Controls below diagonal (n=141).

	Efficacy	Satisfaction
Parenting Efficacy	1.00	0.27

Parenting Satisfaction	0.07	1.00
-------------------------------	------	------

VIII. References

Gibaud-Wallston, J. & Wandersman, L. P. (1978, August). Development and utility of the Parenting Sense of Competence Scale. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Psychological Association, Toronto.

Johnston, C. & Mash, E.J. (1989). A measure of parenting satisfaction and efficacy. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 18, 167-175.

Mash, E. J. & Johnston, C. (1983). Parental perceptions of child behavior problems, parenting self-esteem, and mothers' reported stress in younger and older hyperactive and normal children. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 51, 86-99.