

Family Information Form
Grade 2 / Year 3
Fast Track Project Technical Report
Richard L. Lamb
May 14, 2010

Table of Contents

- I. Scale Description
- II. Report Sample
- III. Scaling
- IV. Subsets
 - A. Child Data
 - B. Female Head of Household Data
 - C. Male Head of Household Data
 - D. Descriptive Statistics for Scored Variables and Selected Frequencies
- V. Recommendations for Use

Citations

Reference

Hollingshead, A.B. (1975). *Four Factor Index of Social Status*. Unpublished manuscript. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University.

Instrument

Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group (CPPRG). (1990). *Family Information Form*.

Report

Rains, C. (2003). *Family Information Form* (Fast Track Project Technical Report). Available from the Fast Track Project website: <http://www.fasttrackproject.org>

Lamb, R. (2010). *Family Information Form* (Fast Track Project Technical Report). Available from the Fast Track Project website: <http://www.fasttrackproject.org>

Sources

Raw: P3B

Scored: FIF3

I. Scale Description

The Family Information Form is part of the summer interview in Year 3 given to parents after the school year was complete. The Family Information Form (CPPRG,1990) was used for deriving demographic data, family structure data, and socioeconomic status. Since the measure was used over the span of the project, items were added to it to include the target child's experience with adult male figures, the family yearly history of medical, mental health, drug and alcohol use, illnesses/difficulties for parents, the target child's siblings, and information for tracking families in the event of a move.

II. Report Sample

These analyses were conducted on the first cohort on the high-risk Control sample (n = 155) and the Normative sample (n = 387, N = 463 with overlap) for the second year of the study. Thirty-eight records were missing for the complete measure. Thirteen records from the Control sample were missing (4 from Durham, 2 from Nashville, 3 from Pennsylvania and 2 from Washington) and 31 records from the Normative sample (5 from Durham, 10 from Nashville, 8 from Pennsylvania and 8 from Washington) were missing.

III. Scaling

Three scores were created for this dataset. One score was the *Socioeconomic Status Continuous Code* (PxBSES), which is based on a formula derived by Hollingshead (1975). The score is “calculated by multiplying the scale value for an occupation by a weight of five and the scale value for education by a weight of three” (Hollingshead, 1975, see Appendix). These scores were then added together. This score is divided by two if both parents work. For example, in a family in which only one parent works, the *Socioeconomic Status Continuous Code* calculation is shown below:

	Scale Score	Factor Score (Weight)	(Scale)*(Weight)
Occupation	6	5	30
Education	5	3	15
		Total Score =	45

For a family in which both parents work, the same calculations would be made for each parent. The resultant total score for each parent would be added together and then divided by two to create a final *Socioeconomic Status Continuous Code* for the family.

The second score, the *Socioeconomic Status Categorical Code* (PxBSESC) is created by categorizing the adults’ scores for the *Socioeconomic Status Continuous Code* (PxBSES) into the five categories show in Table 1. Table 2 shows the derivation of the *Family Occupation Code* (PxBFAMOC). The code is derived as follows:

Table 1.

Socioeconomic Status Categorical Code (PxBSESC)

Computed Score	Range	Social Strata
PxBSESC = 1	54 < PxBSES ≤ 66	Major business & professional
PxBSESC = 2	39 < PxBSES ≤ 54	Medium business, minor professional, technical
PxBSESC = 3	29 < PxBSES ≤ 39	Skilled craftsmen, clerical, sales workers
PxBSESC = 4	19 < PxBSES ≤ 29	Machine operators, semiskilled workers
PxBSESC = 5	6 < PxBSES ≤ 19	Unskilled laborers, menial service workers

Table 2.

Family Occupation Code (PxBFAMOC)

Family Type	Conditions	FAMOC
Adult Female and Adult Male	If both parents are present and the female's occupation code > male's occupation code	FAMOC = Female's Occupation Code
Adult Female Only	If an adult female is present and an adult male is not	FAMOC = Female's Occupation Code
Adult Female and Adult Male	If both parents are present and the male's occupation code > female's occupation code	FAMOC = Male's Occupation Code
Adult Male Only	If an adult male is present and an adult female is not	FAMOC = Male's Occupation Code

A variable called *Time Together* was created to reflect the number of years the adult male and adult female had been married or living together. The number of years (*PxB20*) and number of months (*PxB21*) that the adult male and adult female had been married or living together were coded separately. These two items were combined to create the new *Time Together* variable representing the number of months together.

IV. Subsets

Due to the large amount of data, the report is grouped into several sections: A) data dealing specifically with the child, B) data dealing specifically with the female head of household, and C) data dealing with the male head of household, D) descriptive statistics for scored variables and selected frequencies for variables added to questionnaire after Year 2.

A. Child Data

The following tables describe the students in the Control and Normative samples:

Table 3.

Distribution of Participants by Gender

Gender	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Male	105	73.94	127	44.88
Female	37	26.06	156	55.12

Table 4.

Distribution of Target Child by Race

Race	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
White Caucasian (0)	74	49.67	140	48.95
African American (1)	60	40.27	121	42.31
Hispanic (2)	1	0.67	7	2.45
Asian (3)	1	0.67	1	0.35
Native American (4)	1	0.67	1	0.35
Other (5)	4	2.68	12	4.20

Table 5.

Distribution of Target Child by Grade Last Attended

	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1 st Grade	18	12.09	29	10.14
2 nd Grade	124	83.22	254	88.81

Table 6.

Distribution of Target Child by Grade Last Attended

	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1 st Grade	14	9.40	25	8.74
2 nd Grade	128	86.91	258	90.21
3 rd Grade	1	0.67	0	0.00

B. Female Head of Household Data

This section reports the data collected on the female head of household. Information includes data on race, marital status, job information, and education. During analyses of the data, problems were found in the reporting of the data for the female head of household. Some respondents who said there was no female head of household for their family gave responses to items indicating that there was a female head for the family. To correct this problem, a forced skip pattern was used in the analyses of these data to eliminate those female heads that were not actually present in the household. The following tables, therefore, describe only those households where a female head was indicated as being present.

1. Personal Data

The first question asked whether there was a female head of the household. The “yes” responses are as follows for each sample: 254 (88.81%) for the Normative sample and 141 (94.63%) for the Control sample.

Table 7.

Distribution of Adult Female Head of Household by Race

Race	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
White Caucasian (0)	79	53.02	143	50.00
African American (1)	58	38.93	122	42.66
Hispanic (2)	2	1.34	5	1.75
Asian (3)	1	0.67	1	0.35
Native American (4)	0	0.00	2	0.70
Other (5)	1	0.67	9	3.15

Table 8.

Distribution of Adult Female Head of Household by Target Child Relationship

Relationship	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Biological Parent (1)	126	84.56	266	93.01
Step Parent (2)	1	0.67	2	0.70
Adoptive Parent (3)	5	3.36	2	0.70
Other Relative (4)	0	0.00	0	0.00
Foster Parent (5)	1	0.67	0	0.00
Friend of Parent (6)	1	0.67	1	0.35
Other (7)	7	4.70	11	3.85

Table 9.

Distribution of Adult Female Head of Household Marital Status

Marital Status	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Married (1)	56	37.58	152	53.15
Separated / Divorced (2)	41	27.52	57	19.93
Widowed (3)	3	2.01	3	1.05
Never Married (4)	41	27.52	69	24.13

2. Job Information

Table 10.

Distribution of Adult Female Head of Household Employment Type

Job Type	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Housewives/Welfare Recipients/Unemployed (0)	67	44.97	94	32.87
Farm Laborers/Service Workers (1)	3	2.01	9	3.15
Unskilled Workers (2)	17	11.41	32	11.19
Machine Operators/Semi-skilled Workers (3)	10	6.71	22	7.69
Skilled Manual Workers/ Craftsmen/Noncommissioned Military (4)	12	8.05	26	9.09
Small Business Owners/Clerical/Sales (5)	14	9.40	46	16.08
Technicians/Semi-professionals (6)	9	6.04	27	9.44
Medium Business Owners/Group Professionals/Entertainers/Artists (7)	5	3.36	6	2.10
Large Business Owners/Commissioned Military/Group B Professionals/ Administrative Officers (8)	3	2.01	17	5.94
Executives/Upper ranks Commissioned Military/Major Gov't Officials/Group A Professionals (9)	1	0.67	0	0.00

Table 11.

Work Hours/Week for Female Head of Household

Hours Worked	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1 - 20 Hours	25	10.07	27	9.44
21- 45 Hours	41	27.52	154	53.85
46+ Hours	12	8.05	15	5.24

The mean hours worked in a week by a Control female head of household was 37.0 (SD = 26.27). The mean hours worked in a week by a Normative female head of household was 44.0 (SD = 24.86).

Table 12.

Work Schedule for Female Head of Household

Hours Worked	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Does Not Work	3	2.01	4	1.40
Day (8am – 5pm)	51	34.23	139	48.60
Evening (After 5pm)	5	3.36	16	5.59
Night (After 11pm)	1	0.67	5	1.75
Variable	16	10.74	24	8.39

3. Education Background

Table 13.

Last Grade Completed for Female Head of Household

Education	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1-6 Years (1)	1	0.67	0	0
7-9 Years (2)	16	10.74	20	6.99
10-11 Years (3)	28	18.79	52	18.18
12 Years (4)	70	46.98	121	42.31
13-15 Years (5)	20	13.42	59	20.63
13-15 Years (6)	5	3.36	24	8.39
18+ Years (7)	1	0.67	5	1.75

C. Male Head of Household Data

This section reports the data collected on the male head of household. Information includes data on race, marital status, job information, and education. During analyses of the data, problems were found in the reporting of the data for the male head of household. Some respondents who said there was no male head of household for their family gave responses to items indicating that there was a male head for the family. To correct this problem, a forced skip pattern was used in the analyses of these data to eliminate those male heads that were not actually present in the household. The following tables, therefore, describe only those households where a male head was indicated as being present.

1. Personal Data

The first question was whether there was a male head of the household. The “yes” responses are as follows for each sample: 173 (60.49%) for the Normative sample and 75 (50.33%) for the Control sample.

Table 14.

Distribution of Adult Male Head of Household by Race

Race	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
White Caucasian (0)	52	34.90	124	43.36
African American (1)	20	13.42	50	17.48
Hispanic (2)	1	0.67	5	1.75
Asian (3)	1	0.67	2	0.69
Native American (4)	1	0.67	1	0.35
Other (5)	2	1.34	4	1.40

The mean number of years the male and female were married or had lived together in the Control sample was 8.15 (SD = 4.33). The mean for the number of years the male and female were married or had lived together in the Normative sample was 8.96 (SD = 4.43).

Table 15.

Distribution of Adult Female Head of Household by Target Child Relationship

Relationship	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Biological Parent (1)	126	84.56	266	93.00
Step Parent (2)	1	0.67	2	0.70
Adoptive Parent (3)	5	3.36	2	0.70
Other Relative (4)	0	0.00	0	0.00
Foster Parent (5)	1	0.67	0	0.00
Friend of Parent (6)	1	0.67	1	0.35
Other (7)	7	4.70	11	3.85

Table 16.

Distribution of Adult Male Head of Household Marital Status

Marital Status	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Married (1)	53	35.57	150	52.45
Separated / Divorced (2)	7	4.70	8	2.79
Widowed (3)	1	0.67	0	0.00
Never Married (4)	15	10.07	29	10.14

2. Job Information

Table 17.

Distribution of Adult Male Head of Household Employment Type

Job Type	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Housewives/Welfare Recipients/Unemployed (0)	8	5.40	17	5.94
Farm Laborers/Service Workers (1)	5	3.36	5	1.75
Unskilled Workers (2)	14	9.40	20	7.00
Machine Operators/Semi-skilled Workers (3)	12	8.05	25	8.74
Skilled Manual Workers/ Craftsmen/Noncommissioned Military (4)	26	17.45	55	19.23
Small Business Owners/Clerical/Sales (5)	3	2.01	17	5.94
Technicians/Semi-professionals (6)	6	4.03	17	5.94
Medium Business Owners/Group Professionals/Entertainers/Artists (7)	2	1.34	15	5.24
Large Business Owners/Commissioned Military/Group B Professionals/ Administrative Officers (8)	1	0.67	8	2.80
Executives/Upper ranks Commissioned Military/Major Gov't Officials/Group A Professionals (9)	0	0.00	6	2.10

Table 18.

Work Hours/Week for Male Head of Household

Hours Worked	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1-20 Hours	2	1.34	3	1.05
21-45 Hours	48	32.21	120	41.86
46+ Hours	18	12.08	45	15.73

The mean hours worked in a week by a Control male head of household was 44 (SD = 17.76). The mean hours worked in a week by a Normative male head of household was 44 (SD = 15.42).

Table 19.

Work Schedule for Male Head of Household

Hours Worked	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Does Not Work	73	48.99	115	40.21
Day (8am – 5pm)	48	32.21	117	40.91
Evening (After 5pm)	2	1.34	12	4.20
Night (After 11pm)	2	1.34	10	3.50
Variable	17	11.40	29	10.14

3. Education Background

Table 20.

Last Grade Completed for Male Head of Household

Education	Control		Normative	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1-6 Years (1)	2	1.38	2	0.70
7-9 Years (2)	7	4.83	9	3.17
10-11 Years (3)	14	9.66	21	7.39
12 Years (4)	34	23.45	89	31.12
13-15 Years (5)	15	10.34	37	13.03
13-15 Years (6)	1	0.69	15	5.28
18+ Years (7)	3	2.07	12	4.23

D. Descriptive Statistics for Scored Variables and Selected Frequencies

Table 21 Descriptive Statistics Scored Variables

High or low risk sample	N Obs	Variable	Label	N	Mean	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum
HI	155	P3BSES	Socioecon Status Continuous Code Y3	142	23.33	12.77	3.00	59.50
		P3BFAMOC	Family Occupation Code Y3	142	3.00	2.39	0.00	9.00
		P3BSESC	Socioecon Status Categorical Code Y3	141	3.98	1.10	1.00	5.00
LO	308	P3BSES	Socioecon Status Continuous Code Y3	282	28.25	13.10	6.00	62.00
		P3BFAMOC	Family Occupation Code Y3	282	3.93	2.50	0.00	9.00
		P3BSESC	Socioecon Status Categorical Code Y3	282	3.55	1.17	1.00	5.00

Table 22 Frequencies by Sample Type - Selected Variables Added Sections Beyond Year 3 (section D).

Note: Some numeric values displayed on tables are undefined. The user is encouraged to transform to missing.

	High or low risk sample	
	HI	LO
D1. Any other adults living in TCs hm	15	30
.		
No	121	234
Yes	19	44
D6. often-contact w/Father past yr		
.	17	25
ONCE A WEEK	19	38
AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH	12	32
EVERY OTHER MONTH	10	14

	High or low risk sample	
	HI	LO
ONCE A YEAR	13	23
NEVER	38	35
6	.	3
FATHER LIVES FULL-TIME AT HOME	39	134
FATHER DECEASED	7	4
D10a. Are there other males		
.	54	158
No	32	46
Yes	68	102
3	1	.
9	.	2
D14. TC have brothers or sisters		
.	19	33
No	14	27
Yes	121	247
2	.	1
4	1	.
D31. any other children live with TC		
.	14	33
No	122	259
Yes	19	16
D38. TC spend time in 2nd household		
.	13	28
No	101	216
Yes	41	63
6	.	1
D22a. You or BM had chronic ill.		
.	16	29
No	117	240
Yes	21	37
Don't know	1	2

D22b. Birth father had chronic illness		
.	15	27
No	111	226
Yes	14	30
Don't know	15	25
D22c. Sibs had chronic illness		
.	26	53
No	107	224
Yes	18	25
Don't know	4	6

V. Recommendation for Use

The Fast Track Project created this form to collect general data about the target child and the target child's family. The majority of the items for this measure were designed to be single-use items and do not necessarily reflect a pattern within the data or a scale construct. It should be noted that several problems were found during the analyses of the data: The FIF is a complex questionnaire which gathers information in a variety of characteristics of a family. Complicated family arrangements add complexity to the data structure. The user is encouraged to carefully examine the family structure.