Parent Satisfaction Questionnaire

Grade 2 / Year 3
Fast Track Project Technical Report
Bob McMahon, Hyoshin Kim, & Karen Jones
September 4, 1997

Contents:

- I. Source
- II. Scale Derivation
- III. Missing Data
- IV. Descriptives
- V. Score Intercorrelations
- VI. Site Differences

I. Source

The **Parent Satisfaction Questionnaire** is a 22-item measure which assesses parental satisfaction with the Fast-Track interventions. The PSQ was completed by intervention families beginning in Year 2, except for Cohort 1 which first completed the PSQ in Year 3.

II. Scale Derivation

Seven subscales were constructed to reflect parent satisfaction with specific aspects of the Fast-Track intervention. Items were designated a priori to measure satisfaction with the Parent interventions, Home Visit intervention, Tutor intervention, Children's Group intervention, PATHS Curriculum intervention, Helpfulness with Other Children, and Overall intervention satisfaction. Because most of the constructs utilized two or fewer items, internal consistency reliabilities were used to test the coherence of the constructs with data from the Year 3 Cohort 1 Intervention sample. Additionally, the Parent and Home Visit intervention satisfaction constructs were tested using confirmatory factor analyses (CFA) with maximum likelihood estimation. Each construct was tested individually with separate CFAs with one factor specified. The following is a summary of the results of the CFAs:

Satisfaction with Parent Interventions

(Intervention N=105)			High-risk
	Items	Load	CFI/Alpha
PSQ	In general, how helpful do you think the Parent Group has been to you? (#1)	.63	.92/.63
	How helpful has the Parent Group been in learning how to help your child		
	adjust to school? (#2)	.50	$x^{2}(9)=14.30$
	In particular, how helpful has the School Home Notebook been in helping		
	you keep track of your child's schoolwork? (#3)	.33	
	How helpful has the Parent Group been in helping your child learn to behave		
	better at home? (#4)	.68	
	How helpful has getting to talk with the other parents in the Parent Group on a		
	regular basis been? (#5)	.37	
	In general, how helpful do you think that the Parent-Child Sharing Time (30 minute		
	time after the groups with the children) has been? (#6)	.45	

Satisfaction with Home Visits

(Intervention N=129)			High-risk		
	Items	Load	CFI/Alpha		
PSQ	How helpful has it been to have the Family Coordinator to talk with about your child's		•		
	adjustment in school during these visits? (#9)	.90	- /.89		
	How helpful has it been to have the Family Coordinator available to talk to about yourself				
	and other things going on in your life? (#10)	.86			
	How helpful has it been to talk with the Family Coordinator about reaching family goals				
	or working on other problems? (#11)	.82			

Note: Although a CFA could be conducted, this is a saturated model and a fit index cannot be computed.

Satisfaction with Tutoring (Internal Consistency Reliability) = .91

III. Missing Data

For the Intervention sample, data were missing for 21 subjects, resulting in a sample size of 134.

IV. Descriptives

The mean, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis of each of the subscales for the Year 3, Cohort 1 Intervention sample are presented in the following table. Scale items range from 0 to 4.

Subscale	N	Mean	S.D.	Skew	Kurt
Intervention Sample					
Parent Interventions (prs3par)	122	3.60	0.38	-0.86	0.08
Home Visit Intervention (prs3hvi)	131	3.73	0.51	-2.37	5.84
Tutor Intervention (prs3tut)	85	3.88	0.44	-3.89	14.12
Children's Group Intervention (prs3chg)	128	3.85	0.38	-2.43	5.29
PATHS Curriculum Intervention (prs3pat)	119	3.75	0.51	-1.91	2.90
Helpfulness with Other Children (prs3oth)	91	3.53	0.75	-1.39	0.83
Overall Satisfaction (prs3all)	134	3.88	0.35	-2.90	8.19

V. Subscale Intercorrelations

Intervention Sample:

Pearson Correlation Coefficients /	Prob	>	R	under	Ho:	Rho=0
/ Number of Observations						

/ Number of Observations				
	PRS3PAR	PRS3HVI	PRS3TUT	PRS3ALL
<pre>PRS3PAR PSQ - Satisfaction w/ Intvn to Parent-Ch</pre>		0.51367 0.0001 120	0.31873 0.0040 80	
PRS3HVI PSQ - Satisfaction w/ Home Visit	0.51367 0.0001 120	1.00000 0.0 131	0.16602 0.1312 84	
PRS3TUT PSQ - Satisfaction of Tutor	0.31873 0.0040 80	0.16602 0.1312 84	1.00000 0.0 85	0.11489 0.2951 85
PRS3ALL PSQ - Satisfaction of FT-Overall		0.60731 0.0001 131		
PRS3OTH PSQ - Satisfaction of FT to other Childr		0.24586 0.0188 91		
Pearson Correlation Coefficients / Prob >	R under	Ho: Rho=0		
/ Number of Observations	PRS3PAR	PRS3HVI	PRS3TUT	PRS3ALL
PRS3PAT PSQ - Satisfaction of PATHS		0.02605 0.7795 118	0.2737	
PRS3CHG PSQ - Satisfaction of Children Group		0.05480 0.5422 126	0.3799	
	PRS3OTH	PRS3PAT	PRS3CHG	
PRS3PAR PSQ - Satisfaction w/ Intvn to Parent-Ch		0.22231 0.0190 111	0.0003	
PRS3HVI PSQ - Satisfaction w/ Home Visit		0.02605 0.7795 118	0.5422	
PRS3TUT PSQ - Satisfaction of Tutor		0.12630 0.2737 77		
PRS3ALL PSQ - Satisfaction of FT-Overall	0.25930 0.0131 91	0.02251 0.8080 119		
PRS3OTH PSQ - Satisfaction of FT to other Childr		-0.00495 0.9650 81		
PRS3PAT PSQ - Satisfaction of PATHS	-0.00495 0.9650 81	1.00000 0.0 119	0.38495 0.0001 116	
PRS3CHG PSQ - Satisfaction of Children Group	0.01199 0.9117 88	0.38495 0.0001 116		

VI. Site Differences

Mean Site differences were explored using PROC GLM in SAS. There were significant site differences for Satisfaction with Parent and Home Visit interventions, with Durham significantly greater than Nashville and Penn, and Satisfaction with the PATHS curriculum intervention, with Nashville significantly less than the other three sites.