

## **Post-Visit Reaction Inventory—Youth**

Year 14

Fast Track Project Technical Report

José Miguel Sandoval

July 13, 2011

### **Table of Contents**

- I. Scale Description
- II. Report Sample
- III. Frequencies and Differences Between Groups
- IV. Recommendations for Use

### **Citation**

#### *Instrument*

Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group (CPPRG). (1990). *Post-Visit Reaction Inventory* [On-line]. Available: <http://www.fasttrackproject.org/>

#### *Report*

Sandoval, J.M. (2011). *Post-Visit Reaction Inventory- Youth, Year 14* (Fast Track Project Technical Report). Available from the Fast Track Project website: <http://www.fasttrackproject.org/>

### **Data Sources**

*Raw:* O14S

*Scored:* PVY14

### **I. Scale Description**

The Post-Visit Reaction Inventory Youth is based on the Post-Visit Reaction Inventory Child used sporadically from year 1 to year 13. This is a 60-item checklist that was completed by interviewers within 24 hours after each visit. It was developed to provide staff ratings of the family's physical environment, the child's behavior toward the parent during the visit, the mother's and father's behavior toward the child during the visit, parental behavior toward the visitors and probable validity of the data, and the child's and parents' apparent need for intervention. The Post Visit Inventory Youth is a 4 item questionnaire the interviewer completes in order to evaluate the respondent's cooperation during the visit.

### **II. Report Sample**

These exploratory analyses were conducted on the first cohort on the Control sample (n = 155) and the Normative sample (n = 387, 463 with overlap) from the fourteenth year of the study. Overall, 121 records were missing the complete measure. Fifty-two records were missing from the Control sample (8 from Durham, 19 from Nashville, 9 from Pennsylvania, and 16 from Washington) and 69 records were missing from the Normative sample (11 from Durham, 23 from Nashville, 20 from Pennsylvania, and 15 from Washington).

### III. Frequencies and Differences Between Groups

The user must note that a period (.) represents a missing value and 'S' represents "Skipped".

	High or low risk sample	
	HI	LO
<b>Interviewees receptivity toward interviewer</b>		
.	52	69
S	2	1
Withdrawn	8	12
Average	51	96
Very warm and friendly	42	130
<b>How truthful do you think the interviewees responses were?</b>		
.	52	69
S	2	1
Can't rate	.	2
Very truthful	75	168
Somewhat truthful	13	39
Somewhat untruthful	6	4
Very untruthful	7	25
<b>How open were the interviewees responses to the questions?</b>		
.	52	69
S	2	1
Very open	63	164
Somewhat open	29	60
Somewhat guarded	7	13
Very guarded	2	1
<b>How carefully did the interviewee answer the questions?</b>		
.	52	69
S	2	1
Very carefully	69	177
Somewhat carefully	27	55
Somewhat carelessly	3	3
Very carelessly	2	3

A test of association was executed to assess differences between sample types. Only question O14S01 (receptivity toward the interviewer) was found marginally significant ( $p < .08$ ). The rest of the associations were found non significant.

#### **IV. Recommendations for Use**

This measure was specifically designed for the gathering of general data for use with the Fast Track project. It was not meant for widespread use.