Parent-Teacher involvement: Teacher Version

Year 2 / Grade 1 Fast Track Project Technical Report Shari Miller-Johnson and Anne Maumary-Gremaud 4/13/95 Edited for on-line publication by Patrick S. Malone, 11-Jan-00

Table of Contents

I. Scale Description

- II. Scale Derivation
- III. Missing Data
- **IV.** Subscales Means, SD's and Reliability Coefficients
- V. Subscales Correlations
- VI. Recommendations for Use

Citation

Instrument

Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. (1991). <u>Parent and Teacher Involvement Measure</u> <u>– Teacher</u> [On-line]. Available: http://www.fasttrackproject.org/

Report

Miller-Johnson, S., & Maumary-Gremaud, A. (2000). <u>Parent and Teacher Involvement Measure –</u> <u>Teacher</u> (Technical Report) [On-line]. Available: http://www.fasttrackproject.org/

I. Scale Description

The Parent-Teacher Involvement Questionnaire: Teacher version is a 21-item measure developed for this project to assess facets of parent and teacher involvement. Fast Track also has a 26-item parent version, which includes most of the items on the teacher version, as well as additional items (see separate report for more detailed information). The measure assesses the amount and type of contact that occurs between parents and teachers, the parent's interest and comfort in talking with teachers, the parent's satisfaction with their children's school and the parent's degree of academic stimulation with their children (e.g. reading to them, taking them to the library). The answers are coded on a 5-point scale ranging from "no events" to a "great occurrence of events".

II. Scale Derivation

An exploratory principal components factor analysis with varimax rotation was conducted on the normative sample of the Cohort 1, year 2 data. Five eigenvalues greater than 1.0 were found. Examination of the scree plot showed two large factors, followed by three lower values. Examination of various factor structures showed the 3-factor solution to yield the best findings in terms of minimizing double loadings and providing a conceptual fit to the resulting subscales. Specifically:

<u>Items # 12 -18, 20 and 21</u>: assess the parent's comfort in their relationship with the teacher and the school in general;

Items # 5,6,7,11,19: assess the parent's involvement and volunteering at their child's school;

<u>Items # 1,2,3,4,8,9</u>: assess parent-teacher contact.

Item # 10 (frequency the teacher invited the parent to a PTA meeting) had low loadings on all the factors, and was deleted from future analyses. Maximum likelihood factor analyses with varimax and promax rotations were than conducted, with 2 and 3 factors. The 3 factor solution yielded consistent results as found in the previous principal components analysis. The 2-factor solution had several double loading

items.

The reliability coefficients were then computed for the 3-factor solution, as follows:

Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School	.93
Parent Involvement	.79
Parent-Teacher Contact	.68

There was a relatively high correlation between the *Parent Involvement* and the *Parent Comfort and Endorsement of school* factors (r=.64).

III. Missing Data

26 subjects had missing data for this measure, 10 from the Washington site, 13 from the Pennsylvania site, and 3 from the Durham site. None were missing the entire scale. As per guidelines for handling missing data, a new value was created for the subject by computing the mean value of the other items in this subscale, if less than 50% of the subscale data was missing for this subject.

IV. Subscale Means, SD's, and Reliabilities PTI T COHORT1 YEAR2

Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School Subscale

All Groups Combined

Analysis	Variable	:	T2BCESCR	Comfort	and	endorsement	of	school me	an
Ν	Mean		Std De	ev	(Chronbach Al	pha		
599	2.1481428		0.965666	51		0.932	610		

All Groups Combined by Site

Analysis Variable			:	T2BCESCR	Comfort and endorsement of school mean	
SITE	Obs	Ν		Mea	n Std Dev	
DURH	164	163		2.198364	0 1.0573944	
NASH	143	143		2.011655	0.9691569	
PENN	163	163		2.182079	0.9350517	
WASH	131	130		2.192757	9 0.8714903	

Normative Sample

Analysis	Variable	:	T2BCESCR	Comfort	and	endorsement	of	school	mean
Ν	Mean		Std De	∋v	(Chronbach Alp	pha		
377	2.2277152		0.993747	70		0.9339	967		

Normative Sample by Site

Analy	rsis Var	iable	: T2BC	ESCR	Comfort	and	endorsement	of	school	mean
SITE	NORM	Obs	Ν		Mean		Std Dev			
DURH	N	100	99	2.	2951740	1	.0365166			
NASH	N	97	97	1.	9679267	1	.0231325			
PENN	N	97	97	2.	3815706	C	.9228620			
WASH	Ν	84	84	2.	2705380	C	.9462912			

Parent Involvement Subscale

All Groups Combined

Analysis	Variable	:	T2BISCR	Parent	involvement	mean score
Ν	Mean		Std I	Dev	Chronba	ach Alpha
600	0.9547333		0.58710	606		0.752233

All Groups Combined by Site

Analysi	s Var	iable	:	T2BISCR	Parent	involvement	mean	score
SITE	Obs	N		Me	an	Std Dev		
DURH	164	163		0.99190	18	0.5548646		
NASH	143	143		0.87972	03	0.6280486		
PENN	163	163		0.89251	53	0.5335467		
WASH	131	131		1.06778	63	0.6273930		

Normative Sample

Analysis	S Variable	:	T2BISCR	Parent	involvement	mean	score
Ν	Mean		Std	Dev	Chronba	ach Al	lpha
377	0.9958621		0.6480	838		0.790	0107

Normative Sample by Site

Analysis Variable		iable :	T2BI	SCR Parent inv	olvement mean score	
SITE	NORM	Obs	Ν	Mean	Std Dev	
DURH	Ν	100	99	1.0331313	0.5998106	
NASH	Ν	97	97	0.8432990	0.6850954	
PENN	Ν	97	97	0.9764948	0.6012141	
WASH	Ν	84	84	1.1504762	0.6807967	

Parent Teacher Contact Subscale

All Groups Combined

Analysis	Variable	:	T2BCSCR	Par	ent-Teacher	contact	mean	score
Ν	Mean		Std	Dev	Chi	ronbach 2	Alpha	
600	1.1368519		0.5041	548		0.6	82804	

All Groups Combined by Site

Analysi	.s Var	iable	:	T2BCSCR	Paren	t-Teacher	contact	mean	score
SITE	Obs	N		Mea	an	Std Dev	7		
DURH	164	163		1.19427	40	0.4292398	3		
NASH	143	143		1.37412	59	0.5712932	2		
PENN	163	163		0.91956	37	0.4657490)		
WASH	131	131		1.07676	00	0.4310733	3		

Normative Sample

Analysis	Variable	:	T2BCSCR	Par	ent-Teacher	contact	mean	score
Ν	Mean		Std	Dev	Chro	onbach Al	lpha	
377	1.1076481		0.4962	2079		0.679	9196	

Normative Sample by Site

Analysis Variable			T2BCSC	R Parent-Teacl	Parent-Teacher contact		score
SITE	NORM	Obs	Ν	Mean	Std Dev		
DURH	N	100	99	1.2087542	0.3789706		
NASH	N	97	97	1.2817869	0.5586861		
PENN	N	97	97	0.8834479	0.4965907		
WASH	N	84	84	1.0462963	0.4352149		

V. Subscale Correlations

Normative sample:

Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School Parent Involvement	Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School 1.00000 0.64000	Parent Involvement 0.64000 1.00000	Parent-Teacher Contact 0.30 0.40
Parent-Teacher Contact	0.30	0.40	1.00000
<u>High Risk sample:</u>			
Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School	Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School 1.00000	Parent Involvement 0.58000	Parent-Teacher Contact 0.33000
Parent Involvement	0.58000	1.00000	0.44
Parent-Teacher Contact	0.33000	0.44000	1

VI. <u>Recommendations for Use</u>

It is recommended that the mean subscales scores for Parent Comfort and Endorsement of School, Parent Involvement and Parent Teacher Contact be utilized for analyses. Raters tend to view aspects of Parent Comfort and Endorsement of school and Parent Involvement in an unidimensional fashion, as evidenced by the moderately high inter-subscale correlation. However, the subscales demonstrate adequate reliability and distinctions may prove useful in detecting intervention effects and examining the role of parent-teacher involvement in children's adjustment.